

## United States Patent and Trademark Office States Patent Pa

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,659	08/31/2001	Christopher A. Mesa	10017722-1	1784
7590 08/23/2006			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration				
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>	

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37) -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address— The Appeal Brief filed on 08 June 2006 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37. To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP

1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, 2. canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)). At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)). (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent 4. claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)). The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)) The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)). 7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)). The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)). The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).

See Continuation Sheet.

WEILUN LO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

10.

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): The Appellant only points out or quote the limitations of the independent claims corresponding to the limitations in specification. The Appellant also has to concisely explain the subject matter defined in each limitation of the independent claims involved in the appeal. A nonexclusive example from the independent claim 23, the Appellant simply quotes "displaying a user interface from which one or more of multiple destination devices may be selected to receive data from an input peripheral having a scanning capability (Fig. 1, display 114; Fig. 2, step 210, and Specification, page 11, lines 8-10);" or "in response to the notifying, the selected destination device requesting that the input peripheral begin transmitting data to the selected destination device (Fig. 3, step 316 and Specification, page 11 lines 17-20)." Another words, the Appellant has merely repeated the claim language and the wording of specification without a concise explanation of the subject matter.